

Relativistic Electrodynamics without Reference Frames. Clifford Algebra Formulation

Tomislav Ivezić

Ruđer Bošković Institute, P.O.B. 180, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia
ivezic@irb.hr

In the usual Clifford algebra formulation of electrodynamics the Faraday bivector field F is expressed in terms of *the observer dependent* relative vectors $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. In this paper we present *the observer independent* decomposition of F by using the vectors (grade-1) of electric E and magnetic B fields and we develop the formulation of relativistic electrodynamics which is independent of the reference frame and of the chosen coordinatization. We also present equivalent formulations by using the multivector (Clifford aggregate) $\Psi = E - e_5 c B$ (for the real Clifford algebra; e_5 is the (grade-4) pseudoscalar) or the complex 1-vector $\Psi = E - i c B$ (for the complex Clifford algebra; i is the unit imaginary). In such formulations the Maxwell equations become a Dirac like relativistic wave equation for the free photon.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p

In the usual Clifford algebra treatments, e.g. [1 – 3], of electrodynamics the Maxwell equations (ME) are written as a single equation using the electromagnetic field strength F (a bivector) and the gradient operator ∂ (1-vector). In order to get the more familiar form F is expressed in terms of relative vectors $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ by making a space-time split, which depends on *the observer velocity*. (For the Clifford algebra see also the very stimulating modern textbook [4].)

In the recent works [5, 6] an invariant formulation of special relativity (SR) is proposed (see also [7]) and compared with different experiments [6]. There a physical quantity in the four-dimensional (4D) spacetime is mathematically represented either by a true tensor (when no basis has been introduced) or equivalently by a coordinate-based geometric quantity (CBGQ) comprising both components and a basis (when some basis has been introduced). *Such formulation is independent of the reference frame and of the chosen coordinatization.* It is compared with the usual covariant formulation, which mainly deals with the basis components of tensors in a specific, i.e., Einstein's ("E") coordinatization. In the "E" coordinatization the Einstein synchronization

[8] of distant clocks and Cartesian space coordinates x^i are used in the chosen inertial frame of reference (IFR). It is also found in [5] that the usual transformations of the three-vectors of the electric and magnetic fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are not relativistically correct.

In this paper it is shown that the space-time split is not relativistically correct procedure and that the relative vectors are not well-defined quantities from the SR viewpoint. Further we write the Lorentz transformations (LT) in a coordinatization independent way. The expressions for the observer independent stress-energy vector $T(v)$, energy density U (scalar) and the Poynting vector (1-vector) S and the Lorentz force K are directly derived from the ME. Then we present *the observer independent decomposition of F in terms of 1-vectors E and B* . The equivalent formulations of electrodynamics with F , E and B , the real multivector $\Psi = E - e_5 c B$ and with the complex 1-vector $\Psi = E - i c B$ are developed and presented here. It is also shown that in the real and the complex Ψ formulations the ME become a Dirac like relativistic wave equation for the free photon. The main idea of the whole approach is the same as for the invariant SR with true tensors [5, 6], i.e., that *the physical meaning is attributed, both theoretically and experimentally, only to the 4D quantities*.

Brief summary of geometric algebra [1 – 4].– We write Clifford vectors in lower case (a) and general multivectors in upper case (A). The homogeneous multivectors (of a single grade r) are written A_r . The geometric (Clifford) product is written by simply juxtaposing multivectors AB . The degree projection $\langle A \rangle_r$ selects from the multivector A its r – vector part ($0 = \text{scalar}$, $1 = \text{vector}$, $2 = \text{bivector}$,). We write the scalar simply as $\langle A \rangle$. The geometric product of A_r with B_s decomposes into $A_r B_s = \langle AB \rangle_{r+s} + \langle AB \rangle_{r+s-2} \dots + \langle AB \rangle_{|r-s|}$. The inner and outer products are the lowest-grade and the highest-grade terms respectively of the above series $A_r \cdot B_s \equiv \langle AB \rangle_{|r-s|}$ and $A_r \wedge B_s \equiv \langle AB \rangle_{r+s}$. For vectors a and b we have $ab = a \cdot b + a \wedge b$, and $a \cdot b \equiv (1/2)(ab + ba)$, $a \wedge b \equiv (1/2)(ab - ba)$. Reversion is defined by $\widetilde{AB} = \widetilde{B}\widetilde{A}$, $\widetilde{a} = a$, for any vector a , and it reverses the order of vectors in any given expression. The operation called the complex reversion is introduced for the complex Clifford numbers and denoted by an overbar $\overline{\Psi}$. It takes the complex conjugate of the scalar (complex) coefficient of each of the 16 elements in the algebra, and reverses the order of multiplication of vectors in each multivector. In the treatments, e.g., [1 – 4], one usually introduces the standard basis. The generators of the spacetime algebra (STA) are taken to be four basis vectors $\{\gamma_\mu\}$, $\mu = 0...3$, satisfying

$\gamma_\mu \cdot \gamma_\nu = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+ - - -)$. The γ_μ generate by multiplication a complete basis, the standard basis, for STA: $1, \gamma_\mu, \gamma_\mu \wedge \gamma_\nu, \gamma_\mu \gamma_5, \gamma_5$ (16 independent elements). γ_5 is the pseudoscalar for the frame $\{\gamma_\mu\}$.

In [1 – 3] one introduces a space-time split and the relative vectors. By singling out a particular time-like direction γ_0 we can get a unique mapping of spacetime into the even subalgebra of STA. For each event x this mapping is specified by $x\gamma_0 = ct + \mathbf{x}$, $ct = x \cdot \gamma_0$, $\mathbf{x} = x \wedge \gamma_0$. The set of all position vectors $\mathbf{x}$ is the 3D position space of the observer γ_0 and it is designated by P^3 . The elements of P^3 are called *the relative vectors* (relative to γ_0) and they will be designated in boldface.

The explicit appearance of γ_0 implies that the space-time split is observer dependent. If we consider the position 1-vector x in another relatively moving IFR S' (characterized by γ'_0) then the space-time split in S' and in the "E" coordinatization is $x\gamma'_0 = ct' + \mathbf{x}'$. This $x\gamma'_0$ is not obtained by the LT from $x\gamma_0$. (The hypersurface $t' = \text{const.}$ is not connected in any way with the hypersurface $t = \text{const.}$) Thus the spatial and the temporal components $(\mathbf{x}', t')$ of some geometric 4D quantity (x) are not physically well-defined quantities. Only their union is physically well-defined quantity. It has to be noted that in SR different coordinatizations of an IFR are allowed and they are all equivalent in the description of physical phenomena, see, e.g., [5]. Thence instead of the standard basis $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ (the "E" coordinatization) we can use some basis $\{e_\mu\}$ (the metric tensor of M^4 is defined as $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu \cdot e_\nu$). Then, e.g., x can be decomposed in the S and S' frames and in the standard basis $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ and some non-standard basis $\{e_\mu\}$ as $x = x^\mu \gamma_\mu = \dots = x^{\mu'} e_{\mu'}$. The primed quantities are the Lorentz transforms of the unprimed ones.

Lorentz transformations.— In, e.g., [1 – 3], the LT are considered as active transformations and they are described with rotors R , $R\tilde{R} = 1$, in the usual way as $p \rightarrow p' = R p \tilde{R} = p_{\mu'} \gamma^{\mu'}$. Every rotor in spacetime can be written in terms of a bivector as $R = e^{\theta/2}$, $\theta = \alpha \gamma_0 n$, $\tanh \alpha = \beta$, β is the scalar velocity in units of c , and n is any unit space-like vector orthogonal to γ_0 .

Here a coordinatization independent form for the LT is introduced and it can be interpreted both in an active or a passive way. We introduce 1-vector $u = cn$, which represents the proper velocity of the frame S with respect to itself. Taking that v is 1-vector of the velocity of S' relative to S we write the component form of L in some basis $\{e_\mu\}$ as

$$L^\mu_\nu = g^\mu_\nu + 2u^\mu v_\nu c^{-2} - (u^\mu + v^\mu)(u_\nu + v_\nu)/c^2(1 + u \cdot v/c^2), \quad (1)$$

or as a CBGQ $L = L^\mu_\nu e_\mu e^\nu$. R connected with such L is $R = L/(\tilde{L}L)^{1/2} =$

$L_\nu^\mu e_\mu e^\nu / (\tilde{L}L)^{1/2}$, where $\tilde{L}L = 8(\gamma + 1)$ and $\gamma = u \cdot v / c^2$. It can be also written as $R = \exp((\alpha/2)(u \wedge v) / |u \wedge v|)$. One can solve L_ν^μ in terms of R as $L_\nu^\mu = \langle e_\nu \tilde{R} e^\mu R \rangle$. The usual results are recovered when the standard basis $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ is used. But our results for L and R hold also for other coordinatizations.

The F formulation.- We start the exposition of electrodynamics writing ME in terms of F [1 – 3]. The source of the field is the electromagnetic current j (1-vector field). Then using ∂ (1-vector) ME can be written as a single equation

$$\partial F = j/\varepsilon_0 c, \quad \partial \cdot F + \partial \wedge F = j/\varepsilon_0 c. \quad (2)$$

The equation (2) encodes all of the Maxwell equations. For the given j the Clifford algebra formalism enables one to find F . Namely ∂ is invertible and (2) can be solved for $F = \partial^{-1}(j/\varepsilon_0 c)$. In the Clifford algebra formalism one can derive the expressions for the stress-energy vector $T(v)$ and the Lorentz force K directly from ME. One finds from ME, [1 – 3],

$$T(\partial) = (-\varepsilon_0/2)(F\partial F) = j \cdot F/c = -K, \quad (3)$$

where in $(F\partial F)$ the derivative ∂ operates to the left and to the right by the chain rule. $T(v)$ [1 – 3] is defined in the F formulation as $T(v) = -(\varepsilon_0/2c) \langle FvF \rangle_1$. It is a vector-valued linear function on the tangent space at each spacetime point x describing the flow of energy-momentum through a surface with normal $n = n(x)$; $v = cn$. The r.h.s. of (3) yields the Lorentz force $K = F \cdot j/c$, or for a charge q , $K = (q/c)F \cdot u$, where u is the velocity 1-vector of a charge q (it is defined to be the tangent to its world line).

We write $T(v)$ as a sum of two terms

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) = & -(\varepsilon_0/2c) \left[-(F \cdot F) + (2/c^2)(F \wedge v)^2 \right] v + \\ & -(\varepsilon_0/c) \left[(F \cdot v) \cdot F - (1/c^2)(F \cdot v)^2 v \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The first term in (4) is v -parallel part ($v - \parallel$) and it yields the observer independent energy density U ($U = v \cdot T(v)/c$, scalar) and the second term is v -orthogonal part ($v - \perp$) which is $(1/c)S$, where S is the Poynting vector (1-vector), and it holds that $v \cdot S = 0$. From (2) one can also derive the local (and global) charge and energy-momentum conservation laws, but it will not be done here.

In [1 – 3] F is expressed in terms of a relative vector $\mathbf{E}$ and a relative bivector $\gamma_5 \mathbf{B}$ by making a space-time split in the γ_0 frame $F = \mathbf{E} + \gamma_5 c \mathbf{B}$,

where $\mathbf{E} = (F \cdot \gamma_0)\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_5 \mathbf{B} = (F \wedge \gamma_0)\gamma_0$. It can be shown (as discussed for the space-time split of x) that such decomposition of F is not relativistically correct and that the usual transformations of the relative vectors $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are not the LT of some well-defined quantities on the 4D spacetime. This will be presented in detail in an enlarged version.

The E, B formulation.- Here we present *the observer independent* decomposition of F by using 1-vectors E and B . We define

$$F = (1/c)E \wedge v + e_5 B \cdot v, \quad E = (1/c)F \cdot v, \quad e_5 B = (1/c^2)F \wedge v, \quad (5)$$

and it holds that $E \cdot v = B \cdot v = 0$. v is the velocity (1-vector) of a family of observers who measures E and B fields. The relation (5) establishes the equivalence of the F and E, B formulations. The ME (2) become

$$\partial((1/c)E \wedge v + e_5 B \cdot v) = j/\varepsilon_0 c. \quad (6)$$

In some basis $\{e_\mu\}$ the ME (6) are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha(\delta^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu E^\nu - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} v_\mu c B_\nu) e_\beta &= -(j^\beta/\varepsilon_0) e_\beta, \\ \partial_\alpha(\delta^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu c B^\nu + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} v_\mu E_\nu) e_5 e_\beta &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where E^α and B^α are the basis components of E and B , and $\delta^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu} = \delta^\alpha_\mu \delta^\beta_\nu - \delta^\alpha_\nu \delta^\beta_\mu$. We remark that (7) follows from (6) for coordinatizations with constant e_μ , e.g., for the standard basis $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ (the "E" coordinatization).

The comparison of this geometric approach with E and B and the usual approach with the 3-vectors $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ (not relative vectors) is possible in the "E" coordinatization and in an IFR $\mathcal{R}$ in which the observers who measure E^α and B^α are at rest, i.e., $v^\alpha = (c, \mathbf{0})$. Then it holds that $E^0 = B^0 = 0$. Note that we can select a particular - but otherwise arbitrary - IFR as the $\mathcal{R}$ frame, to which we shall refer as the frame of our "fiducial" observers (see [9]). In $\mathcal{R}$ one can derive from the ME in the $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ basis (7) the ME which contain only the space parts E^i and B^i of E^α and B^α , e.g., from the first ME in (7) one easily finds $\partial_i E^i = j^0/\varepsilon_0 c$, etc. Thus in $\mathcal{R}$ the ME (7) are of the same form as the usual ME with the 3D $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. We notice that observers with $v^\alpha = (c, \mathbf{0})$ are usually considered in the conventional formulation with the 3D $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. However in relatively moving S' these observers are not at rest whence in S' one cannot obtain the usual ME with the 3D $\mathbf{E}'$ and $\mathbf{B}'$ from the transformed ME (7) with $E^{\alpha'}$ and $B^{\alpha'}$. The dependence of the ME (7) on v reflects the arbitrariness in the selection of frame $\mathcal{R}$ but at the same

time it makes the equations (7) independent of that choice. The frame $\mathcal{R}$ can be selected at our disposal, which proves that we don't have a kind of the "preferred" frame theory.

Using (5) we write $T(v)$ as a sum of the $v-\parallel$ and the $v-\perp$ parts (v is again the velocity of observers who measure E and B)

$$T(v) = (-\varepsilon_0/2c)(E^2 + c^2 B^2)v + \varepsilon_0 e_5 [(E \wedge B) \wedge v]. \quad (8)$$

Then $U = (-\varepsilon_0/2)(E^2 + c^2 B^2)$ and $S = c\varepsilon_0 e_5 [(E \wedge B) \wedge v]$. All these quantities can be written in some basis $\{e_\mu\}$ as a CBGQs, but we will not do it here. One can compare these expressions with familiar ones from the 3D space considering our definitions in the standard basis $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ and in the $\mathcal{R}$ frame. Then the invariant U and S take the familiar forms $U = (-\varepsilon_0/2)(E^i E_i + c^2 B^i B_i)$ and $S = \varepsilon_0 c^2 \varepsilon_0^i{}_{jk} E^j B^k \gamma_i$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$.

In [1 – 3] one makes the space-time split and writes K in the Pauli algebra of γ_0 . Since this procedure is observer dependent we express K in an observer independent way using E and B as $K = (q/c) [(1/c)E \wedge v + e_5 B \cdot v] \cdot u$. In the general case when charge and observer have distinct worldlines K can be written as a sum of the $v-\perp$ part $K_\perp$ and the $v-\parallel$ part $K_\parallel$, $K = K_\perp + K_\parallel$.

$$K_\perp = (q/c^2)(v \cdot u)E + (q/c)(e_5 B \cdot v) \cdot u, \quad K_\parallel = (-q/c^2)(E \cdot u)v. \quad (9)$$

This is an observer independent decomposition of K and it holds that $K_\perp \cdot v = 0$, $K_\parallel \wedge v = 0$. Speaking in terms of the prerelativistic notions one can say that in the approach with E and B $K_\perp$ plays the role of the usual Lorentz force lying on the 3D hypersurface orthogonal to v , while $K_\parallel$ is related to the work done by the field on the charge. However *in our formulation of SR only both components taken together do have physical meaning and they define K both in the theory and in experiments*. When the complete K is known we can solve the equation of motion, Newton's second law, written as $K = m(u \cdot \partial)u$, where $u \cdot \partial$ is the directional derivative and $(u \cdot \partial)u$ defines the acceleration of the particle. One can compare these expressions with familiar ones from the 3D space considering our results in $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ basis and in the $\mathcal{R}$ frame. Then the whole K does have $K^i = K_\perp^i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ which is equal to the usual 3D expression for the Lorentz force, while $K^0 = K_\parallel^0$, and represents the 3D expression for the work done by the field on the charge.

The real $\Psi = E - e_5 c B$ formulation.– Now we consider the formulation of electrodynamics with Ψ and $\tilde{\Psi}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= E - e_5 c B, & \tilde{\Psi} &= E + e_5 c B, & E &= (1/2)(\Psi + \tilde{\Psi}), \\ e_5 B &= (-1/2c)(\Psi - \tilde{\Psi}), & B &= (1/2c)e_5(\Psi - \tilde{\Psi}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It is easy to find how the F formulation and the Ψ formulation are connected $\Psi = (-1/c)(vF)$, $\tilde{\Psi} = (-1/c)(\tilde{F}v) = (1/c)(Fv)$, and conversely $F = (-1/c)(v\Psi)$, $\tilde{F} = (-1/c)(\tilde{\Psi}v)$. Then we can write ME (2) in terms of Ψ in a simple form

$$\partial(v\Psi) = -j/\varepsilon_0, \quad \partial \cdot (v\Psi) = -j/\varepsilon_0, \quad \partial \wedge (v\Psi) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Ψ is a mixed-grade multivector; the sum of an 1-vector and a 3-vector (pseudovector). (11) can be written in $\{e_\mu\}$ basis (with constant e_μ) with the CBGQs as

$$((\Gamma^\alpha)^\beta_\mu \partial_\alpha \Psi^\mu) e_\beta = -(j^\beta/\varepsilon_0) e_\beta, \quad (\Gamma^\alpha)^\beta_\mu = \delta^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\nu} v^\rho g^\nu_\mu + e_5 \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\nu} v^\nu. \quad (12)$$

where $\Psi^\alpha e_\alpha = (E^\alpha - ce_5 B^\alpha) e_\alpha$. (12) contains both equations with E and B from (7). When $j^\beta = 0$ the equation (12) becomes

$$((\Gamma^\alpha)^\beta_\mu \partial_\alpha \Psi^\mu) e_\beta = 0. \quad (13)$$

We see from (13) that ME for the free electromagnetic field become Dirac-like relativistic wave equation for the free photon. But Ψ is real and there is no need for the probabilistic interpretation of Ψ ! This will be discussed elsewhere. $T(v)$ again can be written as a sum of the $v - \parallel$ and the $v - \perp$ parts

$$T(v) = -(\varepsilon_0/4c) (\Psi \cdot \Psi + \tilde{\Psi} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}) v + (\varepsilon_0/4c) (\Psi \cdot \Psi - \tilde{\Psi} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}) \cdot v. \quad (14)$$

The first term in (14) yields $U = -(\varepsilon_0/4) (\Psi \cdot \Psi + \tilde{\Psi} \cdot \tilde{\Psi})$ while the second term gives $S = (\varepsilon_0/4) (\Psi \cdot \Psi - \tilde{\Psi} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}) \cdot v$. The Lorentz force is given as $K = (q/c^2) [u \cdot (v \cdot \Psi) + (u \cdot v) \Psi - v \wedge (u \cdot \Psi)]$.

The complex $\Psi = E - icB$ formulation.- Sometimes it will be useful to work with the complex Ψ ; Clifford algebra is developed over the field of the complex numbers. Then

$$\Psi = E - icB, \quad \bar{\Psi} = E + icB, \quad E = (1/2)(\Psi + \bar{\Psi}), \quad B = (i/2c)(\Psi - \bar{\Psi}), \quad (15)$$

$\bar{\Psi}$ is the complex reverse of Ψ and now it holds that $v \cdot \Psi = v \cdot \bar{\Psi} = 0$. In contrast to the real Ψ the complex Ψ is a homogeneous, grade-1, multivector. The F and the complex Ψ formulations are connected as $\Psi = (1/c)F \cdot v +$

$(i/c)e_5(F \wedge v)$, and $F = (1/2c)(\Psi + \bar{\Psi}) \wedge v + (i/2c)(e_5(\Psi - \bar{\Psi})) \cdot v$. Then the ME (2) become

$$\partial \cdot (v \wedge \Psi) - ie_5 [\partial \wedge (v \wedge \Psi)] = -j/\varepsilon_0. \quad (16)$$

In $\{e_\mu\}$ basis (with constant e_μ) the ME (16) will have the same form as the equation (12) but in $(\Gamma^\alpha)^\beta_\mu e_5$ is replaced by i . Notice also that the equations for the complex Ψ are of the same form as the corresponding equations written in the true tensor formulation with the complex Ψ in [5] (or in the component form in the "E" coordinatization in [7] and [10]). Again for $j^\beta = 0$ we find that ME become Dirac-like relativistic wave equation for the free photon. $T(v)$ can be expressed as a sum of the $v-$ $\parallel$ part and the $v-$ $\perp$ part as

$$T(v) = -(\varepsilon_0/2c)(\Psi \cdot \bar{\Psi})v - i(\varepsilon_0/2c)e_5[\Psi \wedge \bar{\Psi} \wedge v]. \quad (17)$$

Then $U = -(\varepsilon_0/2)(\Psi \cdot \bar{\Psi})$, and $S = -i(\varepsilon_0/2)e_5[\Psi \wedge \bar{\Psi} \wedge v]$.

In summary, the approach to the relativistic electrodynamics presented in this paper deals exclusively with geometric quantities, i.e., frame and coordinatization independent quantities. All four formulations, with F , E and B , real and complex Ψ are equivalent and yield a complete and consistent description of electromagnetic phenomena in terms of properly defined quantities on the 4D spacetime. The formalism presented here will be the basis for the relativistically correct (without reference frames) formulation of quantum electrodynamics and, more generally, of the quantum field theory.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Hestenes, *Space-Time Algebra* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1966); *New Foundations for Classical Mechanics* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999) 2nd. edn.
- [2] S. Gull, C. Doran, and A. Lasenby, in *Clifford (Geometric) Algebras with Applications to Physics, Mathematics, and Engineering*, W.E. Baylis, Ed. (Birkhauser, Boston, 1997), Chs. 6-8.
- [3] B. Jancewicz, *Multivectors and Clifford Algebra in Electrodynamics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).
- [4] D. Hestenes and G. Sobczyk, *Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984).
- [5] T. Ivezić, Found. Phys. **8**, 1139 (2001).
- [6] T. Ivezić, Found. Phys. Lett. **15**, 27 (2002).

- [7] T. Ivezić, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **12**, 105 (1999); *Found. Phys. Lett.* **12**, 507 (1999).
- [8] A. Einstein, *Ann. Physik.* **17**, 891 (1905), tr. by W. Perrett and G.B. Jeffery, in *The principle of relativity* (Dover, New York).
- [9] H.N. Núñez Yépez, A.L. Salas Brito, and C.A. Vargas, *Revista Mexicana de Física* **34**, 636 (1988).
- [10] S. Esposito, *Found. Phys.* **28**, 231 (1998).